



1 : 100



--	--	--	--	--	--

修 改 Revisions	
1	1. The title of the paper is not clear. It should be revised to reflect the content of the paper.
2	2. The abstract is too short. It should be expanded to provide a more detailed summary of the paper.
3	3. The introduction is too long. It should be revised to be more concise and to the point.
4	4. The literature review is too general. It should be revised to focus more on the specific topic of the paper.
5	5. The methodology is not clearly described. It should be revised to provide more detail on the methods used.
6	6. The results are not clearly presented. It should be revised to provide more detail on the findings.
7	7. The conclusion is too short. It should be expanded to provide a more detailed summary of the paper.
8	8. The references are not properly formatted. They should be revised to follow the journal's guidelines.
9	9. The overall structure of the paper is not clear. It should be revised to provide a more logical flow of ideas.
10	10. The language is too informal. It should be revised to be more professional and academic.

比例 Scale	1:100	單位 Unit	cm
-------------	-------	------------	----

專案管理顧問
PROJECT MANAGEMENT CONSULTANT

審查 Reviewed	日期 Date	計畫 經理 Proj. Manager	日期 Date
審核 Rechecked	日期 Date		

 **中興工程顧問股份有限公司**
Sinotech Engineering Consultants, Ltd.

技師/建築師執業圖記

圖名:

附圖 7.1-6